



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/964,449	09/28/2001	Takua Nakamura	WEN-008	1688
23353	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			FARAH, AHMED M	
	DING STREET N.W., SUITE 50	1	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		3739	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/964,449	NAKAMURA ET AL	
, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M Farah	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED March 8, 2004, FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apportunity of the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-13</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	0
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			< U \
10. Other:			

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In contrary to the original Claim 1, which recites "input means for inputting optical characteristics data on the contact lens," Claim 1 of the proposed amendment, recites a "calculation means for retrieving correction pattern data on a contact lens." These two recitations are opposite to each other (i.e., inputting vs. retrieving), and therefore require further consideration and/or search. I addition, Claim 6 of the proposed amendment recites new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.